



850 MHz & 900 MHz Co-Existence 900 MHz Receiver Blocking Problem

White Paper

Table of Contents

Introduction and Background	3
Assumptions	3
Receiver Blocking Problem	6
Conclusion	8

1. Introduction and Background

Network administrators and engineers around the globe acknowledge that 850 MHz and 900 MHz spectrums generate interference problems when deployed in the same geographic area.

This interference occurs in two ways:

- 1.1 Receiver blocking and resultant intermodulation interference, caused by high power levels from the 850 MHz downlink (DL) transmit hitting the front end of the 900 MHz BTS receiver.
- 1.2 Out-of-band emissions from 850 MHz BTS entering the 900 MHz uplink (UL) band.




Figure 1: The Problem

The interference caused by out-of-band emissions from an 850 MHz BTS can only be fixed by fitting a filter to the 850 MHz transmitter (Carrier-2 in this paper) to sufficiently reduce any out-of-band transmissions. (Refer to “850 MHz & 900 MHz Co-Existence 850 MHz Out-Of-Band Emissions Problem” white paper by Kaelus).

Addressing the receiver blocking problem requires a filter in the 900 MHz site (Carrier-1 in this paper).

This paper incorporates a link budget methodology and 3 GPP adjacent channel selectivity requirements to address the 900 MHz receiver blocking problem.

2. Assumptions

A typical scenario with below definitions is assumed. In this scenario, both carriers deploy UMTS technology.

The calculation covers a sector in Carrier-1 (receiver) directed toward the null point of a Carrier-2 BTS, as illustrated in Figure 2. The application includes a dual-polarised (X-Pol) antenna for 800-960 MHz frequency band at both BTS sites. This antenna has 65 horizontal and 7.5 degree vertical beamwidth, with gain of 17.5 dBi and height of 2.8 m. It is also assumed that the antennas are installed at the same height and have a clear line of sight, for the sake of simplicity.




Figure 2: Scenario Diagram

The distance between above BTS sites is assumed to be 200 m. In addition to this scenario, the two carriers may share the tower and co-locate their antennas. Estimations for the co-location case and different distances between sites are also provided in this paper.

Figures 3 and 4 detail the antenna propagation models for the transmitter site (i.e. Carrier-2 BTS) and the receiver site (i.e. Carrier-1 BTS). The pattern for the transmitter is different from the receiver as all sectors transmit simultaneously, and the crossovers at null point “fill” with transmission




Figure 3: Transmitter Site Pattern




Figure 4: Receiver Site Pattern

The rest of the assumptions are indicated in the following table:

Table 1

	Quantity	Value	Comments
Transmitter Side (Carrier - 2 BTS):			
(A)	Output Power	46 dBm	
(B)	Feeder Loss	2 dB	
(C)	Peak Antenna Gain	17.5 dBi	
(D)	Antenna Null Toward Receiver	6.5 dB	According to the Assumed Pattern and Bearing
(E)	Antenna Gain Loss due to Down	1 dB	2 Degree Down Tilt Assumed
(F)	Effective Antenna Gain	10 dBi	(C)-(D)-(E)
Receiver Side (Carrier - 1 BTS):			
(G)	Feeder Loss	2 dB	
(H)	Peak Antenna Gain	17.5 dBi	
(I)	Antenna Null Toward Transmitter	0 dB	According to the Assumed Pattern and Bearing
(K)	Antenna Gain Loss due to Down	1 dB	2 Degree Down Tilt Assumed
(M)	Effective Antenna Gain	16.5 dBi	(H)-(I)-(K)

The propagation loss between the two BTS sites can be estimated using free space loss (FSPL) formula as below:

$$\text{FSPL} = (4\pi d/\lambda)^2$$

$$= (4\pi df/c)^2$$

$$\text{FSPL (dB)} = 10 \log((4\pi df/c)^2)$$

Where “d” is the distance between transmitter and receiver antenna, and “f” is the signal frequency

$$= 20 \log(d) + 20 \log(f) + 20 \log(4\pi/c) = 20 \log(d) + 20 \log(f) - 147.56$$

For f = 878MHz and d = 200 m:

And the total path loss (PL) would be:

$$\text{FSPL} = 77.33 \text{ dB}$$

PL1

If both BTS sites are co-located = (b) – (f) + FSPL – (m) + (g) = + 2 – 10 + 77.33 – 16.5 + 2 = 54.83 dB
 d, the isolation between antennas will replace the free space loss, and the following calculations can be used to estimate the path loss. The antennas are assumed to be separated vertically at the same tower.

PL2

The assumptions state that the two antennas = (b) + ant are at an equivalent antenna isolation + (g) altitude and that they are pointing into the “null” of the interferer. In many instances this may not be the case. The interferer may point directly into the peak of the receive antenna and decrease the path loss, thereby making the attenuation requirements of the filter higher. An interfering antenna with a tilt into a receive antenna may also degrade the receiver performance more than what has been indicated in this paper.

3. Receiver Blocking Problem

The power of the blocking signal reaching the 900 MHz BTS receiver can be calculated as following:

$$\text{Blocking signal power} = (a) - \text{PL1}$$

Since both the blocking signal and the wanted signal are UMTS and are immediately adjacent, the 3

$$\text{GPP} = 46 - 54.83 = -8.83 \text{ dBm}$$

Requirements for adjacent channel selectivity (ACR) can be used to calculate the attenuation required at the 900 MHz sites.

Considering ACR requirements for the UMTS macro sites, the blocking signal power reaching the receiver should be less than -52 dBm in order to keep the bit error rate (BER) less than 0.001, thereby maintaining network quality.

$$\text{Attenuation required} = (-8.83) - (-52) = 43.17 \text{ dB}$$

The same calculation can be done for different site-to-site distances. The table below presents the attenuation required for different distances

Table 2

Distance (m)	PL ₁ (dB)	Blocking Signal Power (dBm)	Attenuation Required (dB)
50	42.8	3.2	55.2

150	52.33	-6.33	45.67
700	65.71	-19.71	32.29
1300	71.09	-25.09	26.91

For the co-location scenario, the required attenuation would be as follows:

Blocking Signal Power = (a) – PL2

Table 3

Vertical Antenna-to-Antenna Distance (m)	PL2 (dB)	Blocking Signal Power (dBm)	Attenuation Required (dB)
1	45.8	0.2	52.2
2	56	-10	42
3	62	-16	36
5	69.6	-23.6	28.4

As stated above, the calculations include a number of assumptions. The attenuation required also depends on:

- The technology (i.e. LTE, UMTS, GSM, etc.) used in the receiver and interfering BTS sites
- The delta between the wanted and blocking signal frequencies
- Different antenna specifications
- Antenna bearing and tilt
- The number of interfering BTS sites

For instance, if the blocking signal and wanted signal are considered not to be immediately adjacent, the requirement for blocking signal power can be relaxed by 12 dB (i.e. be -40 dBm). If the blocking signal was a GSM carrier, for example, the requirement would be changed to -47dBm.

The attenuation required to minimise the effect of receiver blocking is provided by installing filters in the affected sites between the antenna and the BTS (i.e. Carrier-2).

4. Conclusion

As indicated, there is an obvious need for filtering on 900 MHz BTS sites to protect receivers and maintain the quality of

the uplink connection. It should be noted that the assumptions in this paper are not simulating the worst case scenario, and the required attenuation might be more as:

- Each 900 MHz site could be affected by more than one 850 MHz site (which is the case in practice)
- The distance between 850 MHz and 900 MHz BTS sites could be less
- As per antenna height, tilt and bearing (e.g. the 850 MHz antenna azimuth could be directly toward the 900 MHz BTS antenna).

Kaelus assists network operators in assessing this problem globally and recommends solutions that are implemented and proven to improve network quality and coverage. For additional information, please contact us at www.kaelus.com or through our global technical sales and support offices.

Kaelus USA
+1.303.768.8080